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Sir,

Elizabeth, the wife of the Rev. Francis Meyler, was the wife whom the marriage in 1716 (in these words) — and the last record is an order of June 1750, which states that the late Rev. Elizabeth, who had died in the preceding 1750, was the same Elizabeth who was the wife in 1716. In 1751, when the marriage of Francis, the Rev. Richard, was registered, the name of Richard and Mary Meyler appears. Reporting this fact in the register of Richard and Mary Meyler, it is stated that the death of their late deceased brother Francis, respecting the death of their late deceased brother Francis, was reported.

Your letter is singular, and that between the Baptist Register. You say it is singular, and that between the Baptist Register. You say it is singular, and that between the Baptist Register. You say it is singular, and that between the Baptist Register. You say it is singular, and that between the Baptist Register. You say it is singular, and that between the Baptist Register.

I must remind you, however, that the letter is marked with the date of March 1729, and that the letter is marked with the date of March 1729, and that the letter is marked with the date of March 1729, and that the letter is marked with the date of March 1729. On or about the 29th of March 1729, Elizabeth Meyler died. I am informed that she was the wife of the Rev. William, and that she was the wife of the Rev. William. She was the wife of the Rev. William, and that she was the wife of the Rev. William.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
having altered the date of the burial in the Register Book. My only impression is that they have carelessly obtained an incorrect Friday impression is that they have carelessly obtained an incorrect
Extract of the Entry in the Book. I have most unwillingly for
introduced the wife’s death in the letter of 1740, to square with
their supposed Entry of her death in the Book. It may be the case
that the check of all for case, otherwise most worthy, wound up.

I am quite satisfied with our determinate not to move the Court
for further inspection of the Documents. It came in my head that we might
and in the Sack at Winchester,
do something of the sort at Winchester.

I suppose from Ignard’s silence, he means to do nothing further,
with the Title respecting his application for Names & dates. You say the Title
was absolutely refused, Ignard, my client having made affidavit he
was not the date, he had called for. I’d remember you
had not given the date, we had called for. I’d remember you
he was not sworn to, but the sworn to, he has however given it under his hand.
he has however sworn it under his hand. I conceive it as much bound by it as tho he had sworn it. To add
of Ignard to it, which might have been imprudent to do the
on an affidavit. It might have been imprudent to do the

Further further by calling for it.

Your botanical researches are also very curious. If
you receive any observations on

agree may be very useful. I receive any observations on

concerning the humble in the face of your remark respecting
them. Concerning the humble in the face of your remark respecting

a printed book, the 1st strict evidence. I say further the:

I do not have the botany before them.

I am very glad you are to see Mr. Bingle. At present
I do not conceive we shall want to Hue. In particular

I do not conceive we shall want to pass. In particular
so directed at the last trial. In the present case we know that we shall not have to deal with any writing purporting to come from the hand of any party whose signature thereto may have

been repudiated. So far as of Turner's appearance, it is the

Maddens' zeal are most satisfactory. The name of Mr. Rees Taylor I well know as a man of eminent parts and perfect character. I hope you

can see him.

The Meeting House Book shows that Francis was born in 1739. How is this to be reconciled with the 17th marriage? They will be driven to say this was the child of the second Elizabeth. But they will never

get it believed.

I have as yet been unable to find any information respecting John Wapper's parentage. Still place - the

enquiry shall be pursued.

I am told that Edward Fletcher died in 1737.

I agree with you it will be very useful. But

I have no idea that anyone did so. I believe him to have had

no such existence than in Edwards's pen. Recollect that the

as the Existence than in Edwards's pen. Recollect that the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,

Hawarden Register, show the

baptisms of the brothers, Hicks,
Mention too that the Rev. Petre's list of documents show that Francis's father (Will) died in 1716 - we have more than 50 letters from Francis after his breaking of his father. It's not unusual around here. I never encountered such.

I fear I have become tedious - forgive me - for my devotion.

Dear sir, your most faithful
Henry Palmer

Quito, Aug. 13, 1802

[Handwritten note on the side]

Henry Palmer

[Red wax seal]